GRS IX-5]

Previous references. Mrg 755-19-1-9

1924 (Mrgstai)

File No. 229-24

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later references. 235-20 1-9

Mrg 208-30 f-3

(10)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for April 1925

SUBJECT

Submits the report in the matter of the dispute between the Brahmans and the Lingats relating to the Rathotsava of Sri Mallikarjuna Swamy temple at Purna in the Karwar Taluq

Dy. Mrs'd dated 6.4.1925

To the Mrg. Comr

The sanctioned taxbill cannot be withdrawn

G. R. S. 11] 1924

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards

NOTES.

File No. 229 / 1924

FILE HEADING.

Ratotsava of Sri Mallikarjuna Swamy at Pura, Yegati Hobli, Kadur Taluq.

From

The Inam Commr

No. App. II Dated 14. 2. 1925 Received

Diary No.	Enclos	Spare Copies.	Serial No.
1347	12	-	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports in the matter of the dispute between the Brahmans and the Lingayats, that the allegation that the cash grant of Rs 4-0 been annually by Govt to the insti, may be withdrawn until the

NOTES AND ORDERS.

insti remains from the rest of the insts, and termination of the arrangement, and refuses Solicits as the above report is the first of its kind.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III 2]

2m

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 219 of 1924

Serial No

1 Muz. F. no. 755-19 on the subject is submitted. The facts of the case are given in the office-note of the Collection.

2) The complaints of the Brahmans was that the Sivachar Pujaris has been permitted contrary to manual, to conduct the Ratotsava of Sri Mallikarjuna Swamy temple at Puna in the Haliyal Taluka. The contending parties were heard before Sri S, and in S.O. no. 2428- Muz.755-19 dt 7-3-1923, the Dy. Comm. finding that the during the Ratotsava, the Brahmans have the exclusive right of worship as per manual, has confirmed.

3) Again there were differences between the contending parties and the matter came up for decision before the Dy Comm.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561-GPB-3750-1 S 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 229 of 1924

Serial No.

4) The chief points of contention are indicated below:—

(1) claim of Brahmans to worship the Mula Devara in the Ratotsavam &c. and to offer Nivedana & food before the Santarpana,

(2) Right of precedence in performing Mangalaratis & the Mulavara at the Sangoth[illegible] on the 3rd day after the Ratotsavam.

(3) Recognition of the right of the Brahmans to perform Puja & the Mula Devara whenever they visit the site during festive or other occasions.

5) The Dy. Comr. has passed orders on all the points in favour of Brahmans (His typed letter dt. 1.4.1924 addressed to the Asst. Comr. Sirsi full reasons).

M

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—T & 22

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 229 of 1924

Serial No.

6 Against this order, the Lingayats have appealed to the Muzrai Commr.

7) The appeal seems to be pending orders.

8) In the meanwhile, the Lingayat disciples have presented a petition stating that the temple in question is exclusively a Veerashaiva institn., and the Veerashaivas form the bulk of the Bhakta and that the small grant of Rs 4-4-0 is not serving the object, and praying that the grant may be rescinded and the institn removed from the head of mutt-institn.

9) The Muz Commr states that the request seems to be the first of its kind.

N

3

✓ (as per cash grant Register)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 056]—GPB—3750—1-8 22

Serial No.

and that he is unable to find any precedents respect, and submits the matter for the orders of Govt.

10) The possible search was and no precedents are available in the Secl records.

11) The temple in question is a Muz-in one, and the Vellalas pray for its removal from the control of the Muz-Dept probably with a view to enabling the previous orders of Govt. and to prevent the Boards mems having anything to do with the inam. It is a point for Govt to consider how far their powers can be granted.

Submit for orders.

AH 11/28/1925

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8-22

[R. S. III·2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 229 of 1924

Serial No.

12) The point at issue in the appeal before the Mun. Court is the right of worship in Rathotsava and other occasions as between Brahmins and Lingayets, and the ground of appeal of the Lingayets is that the Mun Regulation does not empower the D.C. to investigate into [illegible] matters and that therefore his order is ultra vires. The question of the Cash grant by the Govt has been raised only incidentally. The Mun Court may perhaps decide be asked to decide the appeal himself, and leave the aggrieved party to appeal to Govt.

11.3.25

13.3

18 3 25

Rec'd 19 MS

435/20.3

13) On account of the dispute between the Lingayets and the Brahmins, the Sanctioned Tasdiki of [illegible] cannot be paid to the Dharmadarshi, pending settlement of the dispute.

The Mun Court may dispose the appeal pending before them. as it merits.

20/3

24.3

AV 24/3

14) Dft submitted -

20.3.25

-Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8-22

29/3/25

15)

16

29/3

G. R. S. III-2]

157 7

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 229 of 1924.

Serial No.

Petition no. 1570 Dy 21-3-25 from chaunabasana Aradhya on the same subject.

17) Approved & add to and re-submit for orders

M
3.4.25. Dy
8/4/25

18) Done
6
3.4.25

9 To the Muzrai [illegible] dt. 10.10.1924 & dt. 8.4.25. States that the provisional Jagadish of the [illegible] cannot be withdrawn & the temple removed from the list of Muzrai institutions merely on a/c of the existence of family disputes & may write his to dispose of the appeal of [illegible] as well as the petition of the [illegible] Aradhya on their

B
11/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561- GPB--3750--1 8 22

C

No. 1777 11 — Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 14th February 1925.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

34397 Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 16 FEB 1925

Dn. 1347

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 16 FEB. 1925 G. D. SECTION.

In submitting herewith the records relating to the appeal of Sivalingappiah and others devotees of the Sri Mallikarjunaswami at Pura in the Kadur Taluk against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner Kadur District adjudging the claims of the Brahmins and the Lingayets to worship the deity during Rathotsava and on other occasions, I -- have the honor to state that the appellants in their statement -- presented on 3rd February 1925 request that the cash grant of Rs.7/4 per annum given by Government to the institution may be withdrawn and the institution removed from the head of Muzrai Institutions. They want the management of the institution to vest in the devotees of whom they form the majority, so that arrangement for worship etc., may be made in accordance with their wishes. The request seems to be the first of the kind and I submit the same for the orders of --- Government, being unable to find any precedents in this respect.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

App.F.VI-G1]

9

SUBJECT

Section Misc.

File No. 229-24.

Diary No. of letter replied to

Ceria No. 3.

No. 1458,
Five files with the Petn

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192

To The Inspr of Cons.

Sir,

Date of issue 6.4.25

Adverting to your letter no. App. II D 14 14th February 25 reporting in the matter of the dispute between the Brahmans and the Lingayats relative to the Ratotsava of Sri Mallikarjuna Swamy temple at Pura, Yegati Hobli in the Kadur Taluk, that the latter request that the cash grant given to the idol may be withdrawn, and the idol transferred to their manage-ment,

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

and Soliciting orders of Court
in the matter, I am directed
to request state, that ~~on~~
~~account of the dispute between~~
~~the parties interested in the~~
~~institution~~, the sanctioned
Jaadik to the institution cannot be withdrawn
and the institution removed from
the list of Muz. institutions owned on a/c of the existence of
~~merits~~ or
Mainly to satisfy one of parties dispute
the contending parties. I am accordingly
to request that you will be
so good as to dispose of
the appeal of Sivalingappiah
and others pending before
you on its merits.
(2) The records are
herewith returned.

Petition Reg. No. 1570 of 26.3.75
filed from the accused Arumugam
withdrawing his petition to transfer
proceedings pending.

I have &c.

27/3

Asst Reg Reg Secretary.

27/3/75